It is the position of the Enzyme Technical Association (ETA) that microbially derived enzymes do not fall within the scope of the Food Allergy Labeling and Consumer Protection Act (FALCPA) and that labeling for food allergens is not triggered by the use of a microbially derived enzyme preparation. There may be other reasons why a manufacturer labels a food product with regard to allergen content, but the use of a microbially derived enzyme preparation is not a reason for such labeling.

Enzymes are not one of the eight major allergenic foods, often referred to as the big 8, so they do not fit within the first requirement of FALCPA. In addition, microbial enzymes are not byproducts of nor are they derived from the major food allergens. Although enzymes are not major food allergens, many enzymes are produced with microorganisms and the nutrient media used to feed these microorganisms may contain protein from one or more of the major food allergens. The enzymes are not derived from raw materials containing major food allergens, but rather are obtained from the microorganisms which are used to produce the enzyme proteins. In other words, enzymes obtained from fermentation are directly derived from microorganisms fed on media that may include protein obtained from one or more of the major food allergens. Proteins and other nitrogenous material are consumed by the microorganisms for cell growth, cell maintenance, and production of enzyme protein. It is the intent of the enzyme manufacturer to supply enzymes, therefore it is critical that the ratio of nutrient to enzyme yield is carefully controlled. It is also the intent of the manufacturer that these raw materials are added to the fermentation as food to be consumed by the microorganism and are not added as formulation ingredients.

In arriving at its position ETA also considered that:

- The regulatory agencies in the EU and Japan have determined that enzyme preparations are not required to have allergen labeling for the raw materials used in the fermentation process. Indeed, the European Commission’s Health & Consumer Protection Directorate General has clearly stated that enzymes

1 To the extent the enzyme producer uses an allergenic material, such as wheat flour diluent in the final product formulation, labeling may be required.
are outside the scope of the Directive 2003/89/EC which amended the EU Food Labelling Regulations.

- Enzyme broths are normally processed to separate biomass and fermentation materials from the enzyme, to concentrate the enzymatic activity, and formulated to achieve a uniform and stable enzyme product.

- The unique role of enzymes in food processing is as a catalyst. Due to the specific nature of enzymes, only small amounts are required to make desired modifications to the property of a food.

- Many enzymes do not become a component of the food ingredient or final food. Some enzymes are used in an immobilized form or are denatured during processing. Further, processing of the food ingredient after the enzyme catalyst has performed the expected function often reduces or eliminates the enzyme from the product.

- ETA has made an extensive review of the published scientific literature and has found no reports that even suggest there has been an allergenic reaction to a component of the fermentation media which was used to feed the microorganism that produced the enzyme.

The above position paper and accompanying report were provided to FDA on September 12, 2005 and to date ETA has received no comment.